

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFIGE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/716,890	11/20/2000	David N. S. Hon	460-001 Cont.IV	5003
1009	7590 03/26/2002			
KING & SCHICKLI, PLLC			EXAMINER	
247 NORTH BROADWAY			PATTEN, PATRICIA A	
LEXINGTO	N, KY 40507			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	$\sim$
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/716,890

Hon et al.

Examiner

Office Action Summary

Patricia Patten

Art Unit **1651** 



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
A SHO THE M - Extens aft - If the be - If NO cor - Failure - Any re	er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, considered timely.  period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	R 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed				
Status	The state of the s					
_						
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)   ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) ∐	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims	,				
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-28	is/are pending in the application.				
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.				
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-28</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applica	tion Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)□	The drawing(s) filed onis/are	objected to by the Examiner.				
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved.				
12)□	?) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
13) □ a) □ *S	under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p  All b) Some* c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority described application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestice.	re been received. re been received in Application No ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). e certified copies not received.				
14)∟	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under do didicity i reter				
Attachm						
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		20) Other:				
··/ L. "	months of the second of the se					

Art Unit: 1651

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The numbering of the newly submitted claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 37-43 (as presented in the Preliminary Amendment filed 11/20/00) have been renumbered 22-28.

## Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1, 22 and 23, drawn to a composition comprising an aqueous extract of oak bark, classified in class 424, subclass 775 for example.
- II. Claims 2-4 and 24-27, drawn to a composition comprising potassium, zinc, calcium, rubidium, sulfur and a carrier which is not identical to an aqueous extract of bark, classified in class 424, subclass 610 for example.

Art Unit: 1651

- III. Claims 5 9, drawn to a method for treating cancerous skin lesions with an aqueous extract of oak bark, classified in class 424, subclass 614 for example.
- IV. Claims 10-13 and 17 drawn to a method for treating psoriasis by use of an aqueous extract of oak bark, classified in class 424, subclass 725 for example.
- V. Claims 14-16, drawn to a method for treating impetigo with an aqueous extract of oak bark, classified in class 424, subclass 405 for example.
- VI. Claims 18-21, drawn to a method for treating gangrene with an aqueous extract of oak bark, classified in class 424, subclass 404 for example.
- VII. Claim 28 drawn to a method for enhancing wound healing with an aqueous extract of oak bark, classified in class 514, 783 for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, as evidenced by the claims themselves, the composition of Group II is not the same composition as the composition of Group I. An aqueous extract of bark contains many pharmaceutically active ingredients other than those listed in claim 2. For example, the extract contains chromium which has been known in the art to regulate blood glucose levels. Thus, because the compositions

Art Unit: 1651

contain differing constituents, administration of each respective composition may result in different clinical outcomes.

Inventions I-II and III-V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case all of the instantly claimed methods (which are patentably distinct from each other) can be carried out with materially different products than those recited in the instant claims.

For example, plants such as myrrh and ginseng have been used in the art for treating gangrene. Compounds such as tetracyclinotrile and benzopyrone have been known for treating cancerous lesions of the skin. Many plant extracts have been known to have a beneficial effect toward psoriasis such as corn and rose extracts. And further, there are a myriad of wound-healing compositions known in the art. Monoxodil for example is a very well-known composition which accelerates wound healing.

Thus, the search for each of the above inventions is not co-extensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Further, a reference which would anticipate the invention of one group would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another group.

Art Unit: 1651

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each Group is not required for the others, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Patricia Patten, whose telephone number is (703)308-1189. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn, can be reached on (703) 308-4743. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1651

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Jon P. Weber, Ph.D. Primary Examiner